

Message

---

**From:** Dunton, Cheryl [Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 12/27/2021 4:49:12 PM  
**To:** Carroll, Timothy [Carroll.Timothy@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** Re: Media inquiry: PEER on EPA "hiding" VOC-exempt chemical's carcinogenicity

Yes these pieces are good. Thanks.

> On Dec 27, 2021, at 11:46 AM, Carroll, Timothy <Carroll.Timothy@epa.gov> wrote:

>

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

>  
> Tim Carroll (he/him)

> Deputy Press Secretary  
> Environmental Protection Agency  
> 202-384-7510 (mobile)  
> Twitter:  
@EPAPressOffice<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Ftwitter.com%2Fepapressoffice&data=04%7C01%7CDunton.Cheryl%40epa.gov%7Cfe0a2dcda6fb4c5b729308d9c9586567%7C88b378b367484867acf976aacbeca6a7%7C0%7C0%7C637762203761095331%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6IklhawwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=e64UTiB8DntyM1hTG845QJ58U%2BKJAoy2WI37fCdhaCI%3D&reserved=0>>  
>  
> From: Dunton, Cheryl <Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov>  
> Sent: Monday, December 27, 2021 10:51 AM  
> To: Carroll, Timothy <Carroll.Timothy@epa.gov>  
> Subject: RE: Media inquiry: PEER on EPA "hiding" VOC-exempt chemical's carcinogenicity

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

> From: Carroll, Timothy <Carroll.Timothy@epa.gov<mailto:Carroll.Timothy@epa.gov>>  
> Sent: Monday, December 27, 2021 10:07 AM  
> To: Dunton, Cheryl <Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov<mailto:Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov>>  
> Subject: Fwd: Media inquiry: PEER on EPA "hiding" VOC-exempt chemical's carcinogenicity

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

>  
> From: Julia John <julia.john@chemicalwatch.com<mailto:julia.john@chemicalwatch.com>>  
> Sent: Monday, December 27, 2021 8:35 AM  
> To: EPA Press Office  
> Subject: Media inquiry: PEER on EPA "hiding" VOC-exempt chemical's carcinogenicity  
>  
> Hi there,  
>  
> I hope you had a nice holiday. I'm covering these new PEER  
claims<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fpeer.org%2Fepa-hid-danger-green-chemical%2F&data=04%7C01%7CPress%40epa.gov%7Cc77473a7135d4086fa0108d9c93db503%7C88b378b367484867acf976aacbeca6a7%7C0%7C0%7C6377622089577890730%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6IklhawwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=nspbkilBklQMhLxXsg%2Fy6diiv%2BYUGGck7vx3bwwQOA%3D&reserved=0>>, and  
I'd really appreciate getting the EPA's comment on them by 2 p.m. Eastern Time today. Here are my  
specific questions:  
> 1) Overall, what's the agency's response to the accusations? How credible are they?  
> 2) What, if any, mischaracterizations about the agency's efforts around PCBTF and its  
authorities do the PEER press release and complaint summary contain?  
> 3) To what extent is the EPA actually promoting PCBTF?  
> 4) According to the law, how is the agency supposed to deal with new chemicals including  
existing ones that pose risks? In PCBTF's case, how did the agency fulfill its legal duties?  
> 5) How widespread and significant is this potential problem of the EPA not considering  
existing chemical risks within new chemical assessments? Are there any other specific examples of this?  
>  
> Thanks so much,  
> Julia  
> Julia John  
> North America Reporter  
> +44(0)1743 818 101 (head office)  
>  
chemicalwatch.com<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.chemicalwatch.com%2F&data=04%7C01%7CPress%40epa.gov%7Cc77473a7135d4086fa0108d9c93db503%7C88b378b367484867acf976aacbeca6a7%7C0%7C0%7C6377622089577890730%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6IklhawwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=lc8F3KFRgzDjqhoFk%2Fg58QFnbeJdBPLv296mpuZ38dA%3D&reserved=0>>  
> [Chemical  
watch]<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.chemicalwatch.com%2F&data=04%7C01%7CPress%40epa.gov%7Cc77473a7135d4086fa0108d9c93db503%7C88b378b367484867acf976aacbeca6a7%7C0%7C0%7C6377622089577890730%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6IklhawwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=lc8F3KFRgzDjqhoFk%2Fg58QFnbeJdBPLv296mpuZ38dA%3D&reserved=0>>  
> Follow us on  
>  
[LinkedIn]<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.facebook.com%2FChemical-Watch-1522673931186812%2F&data=04%7C01%7CPress%40epa.gov%7Cc77473a7135d4086fa0108d9c93db503%7C88b378b367484867acf976aacbeca6a7%7C0%7C0%7C6377622089577890730%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6IklhawwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=wBZsLhqp9ZiPMoiOpysD%2Ffw5Cg3k8HQDjo%2BupUySA54%3D&reserved=0>>  
>  
[Twitter]<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Ftwitter.com%2Fchemicalwatch&data=04%7C01%7CPress%40epa.gov%7Cc77473a7135d4086fa0108d9c93db503%7C88b378b367484867acf976aacbeca6a7%7C0%7C0%7C6377622089577890730%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6IklhawwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=0EACOVxhvJEmPQdTU8vJTNOMK2GRPjFwfDHVngNBLY%3D&reserved=0>>  
>  
[Blog]<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.linkedin.com%2Fcompany%2Fchemical->

watch%2F&data=04%7C01%7CPress%40epa.gov%7Cc77473a7135d4086fa0108d9c93db503%7C88b378b367484867acf976aacbec  
a6a7%7C0%7C0%7C637762089577890730%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoimC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiv2lumZiIiLCJBTiI6Ik1  
hawwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=6iRkzm6l6bnxFZbpznUZxOqGNGJ2P7Frn9hnsN69saU%3D&reserved=0>

> DISCLAIMER

> This communication contains information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential and intended solely for the use of named addressees. If you are not a named addressee, you are notified that you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this communication without the consent of the sender and that doing so is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender via return e-mail and delete it from your computer. Thank you. (v0)

>

> <Risks of existing chemicals in PMNs (002).pdf>